IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No.982 of 2006
Date of decision: November 22, 2006.

Ashok Kumar Sharma & Ors.

...**Petitioner(s)**

v.

D.R. Shingra

...**Respondent(s)**

Present: Shri D.S. Rawat, Advocate for the petitioners.

Shri R.D. Sharma, Sr. Dy. Advocate General, Haryana for the respondent.

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

This contempt petition has been filed alleging non-compliance of the order dated March 22, 2005 passed by this Court in CWP No.12284 of 2003.

Undisputedly, in terms of the aforesaid order, the petitioners, who are legal heirs of late Som Dutt, are entitled to be paid interest on the delayed payments.

It is not disputed that a part payment of the arrears of interest has already been received by the petitioners and in addition thereto, Learned State Counsel has handed over three banks drafts, bearing No.029054, 029055 and 029066, dated 21.11.2006, for Rs.3991/-, Rs.3900/- and Rs.3900/- respectively to Learned Counsel for the petitioners.

However, Learned Counsel for the petitioners contends that the

petitioners have not yet been paid all the arrears of the interest amount.

Having regard to the scope of these proceedings, this petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioners to make a self-explanatory representation along with the statement of accounts, if any, in order to substantiate their claims for furthers payments. If any such representation is made by them, the respondent is directed to consider the same objectively within a period of two months from the date of receipt thereof and if anything more is found due, to release the same within one month thereafter.

Disposed of.

Rule discharged.

November 22, 2006. [**Surya Kant**]
kadyan **Judge**